الملخص :-

Implementation of surgical safety checklist in Mukalla hospitals; Yemen

Maha Mohammed Bin Dahman

Assistant professor in anesthesia, department of fundamental medical sciences, faculty of nursing, Hadhramout University, Mukalla, Yemen.

Nawal Saeed Banafa

associate professor community medicine, department of fundamental medical sciences, faculty of nursing, Hadhramout University, Mukalla, Hadhramout, Yemen.

Fahmi Omer Aram

Professor in surgery, department of surgery, faculty of medicine, Hadhramout University, Mukalla, Hadhramout, Yemen.

الخلفية: إن استخدام قائمة المعايير المعدة من قبل منظمة الصحة العالمية للسلامة الجراحية أدى الى تحسن ملحوظ في نتائج العمليات الجراحية وبالتالي انخفضت إلى حد كبير المضاعفات الناتجة عن تلك العمليات وهذا استدعى ضرورة تطبيق هذه القائمة في كل أنحاء العالم، وهذه الدراسة تتناول مدى تطبيق هذه القائمة في الأقسام الجراحية في مستشفيات المكلا.

المنهج: خلال ثلاثة أشهر تم النزول إلى ست مستشفيات في مدينة المكلا واستخدمت طريقة الملاحظة والمقابلة وبواسطة الاستمارات المعدة مسبقًا لهذا الغرض والتي تتضمن قائمة المعايير للسلامة الجراحية المعتمدة من قبل منظمة الصحة العالمية. ثم أجرى التحليل للنتائج بواسطة برنامج الحزمة الإحصائية الاجتماعية العلمية نموذج ٢٠.

ا**لنتائج**: تم اجراء ١١٠ تدخلًا جراحيًا في مستشفيات المكلا خلال فترة ثلاثة اشهر، فالمستشفيات الخاصة استخدمت قائمة منظمة الصحة العالمية بنسبة ٨٧,١% بينما استخدمت المستشفيات الحكومية هذه القائمة بنسبة ٧٩,٣٩%.

تم تطبيق الجزء الخاص بالفحص بعد خروج المريض من غرفة العمليات من القائمة بنسبة ٨٦,٧٥% بينما تم تطبيق الجزء الخاص بالفحص قبل البدء وأثناء العمليات بنسب ٨٦,٣٧% و ٨١,٠٨% على التوالي. وبشكل عام يتم تطبيق القائمة بنسبة ٨١,٧٧% في مستشفيات المكلا.

الاستنتاجات : تُطبق قائمة منظمة الأمم المتحدة الخاصة بالسلامة الجراحية بشكل جزئي في مستشفيات مدينة المكلا، وتُعد القائمة أداة بسيطة ومن السهل تطبيقها وذلك بعد أخذها من منظمة الصحة العالمية ومن الأفضل تعديل القائمة بما يتناسب والأوضاع المحلية في مكان تطبيقها .

الكلمات المفتاحية: قائمة معايير السلامة الجراحية ، مستشفيات المكلا.

Abstract :

Background: The use of WHO surgical safety checklist results in striking improvements in surgical outcomes and decreases effectively the adverse events; accordingly, it necessitates rapid adoption worldwide. We are going to assess the extent of application of such checklist in our surgical setting.

Methods: we surveyed all six hospitals in Mukalla city in three months period (aug- oct 2016), Observations and interviews were conducted using already prepared forms. The data was analyzed by SPSS version 20.

Results: Atotal of six hospitals performed 110 procedures during the three months period. The private hospitals implementing the WHO surgical safety checklist more than government hospitals 87.10 % vs 79.39%. (Sign out) part of the checklist was the most applied 86.75% followed by (sign in) and (Time out) 86.37%, 81.08% respectively. The overall application of the standards of the checklist in Mukalla hospitals was 81.77%.

Conclusion: The surgical safety checklist of WHO was partially applied in our hospitals. The checklist is a simple tool, which can downloaded freely from the WHO. Adaptation of the checklist to suit local conditions is encouraged.

Key wards: surgical safety checklist, Mukalla hospitals.

AL-Saeed Journal of Humanities and Applied Sciences Volume 2 Issue (1) June 2018

5

Introduction:

Implementation of the surgical safety checklist was associated with reductions in the rates of death and complications among patients who were undergoing surgery in a diverse group of hospitals. Overall, surgical complications fell from 11% to 7%, and mortality fell from 1.5% to 0.8% ^{(1).}

World Health Organization (WHO) published guidelines identifying multiple recommended practices to ensure the safety of surgical patients worldwide ⁽²⁾. Safe Surgery Saves Lives initiative was established in 2009 by the World Alliance for Patient Safety as WHO implementation manual surgical safety checklist ⁽³⁾.

The World health organization report 2002 estimated 164 million disabilityadjusted life year (DALY), representing 11% of the entire disease burden, all were attributable to surgically treatable conditions.⁽⁴⁾

The incidence of perioperative deaths due to anesthesia is 2.57% according to Maman et al, 93% are avoidable. ⁽⁵⁾ Efforts to implement practices designed to reduce surgical- site infections or anesthesia-related mishaps had been shown to reduce complications significantly. ⁽⁶⁾

A growing body of evidence links teamwork behaviors to improved outcomes, with high-functioning teams achieving significantly reduced rates of adverse events.^(7,8) Lingard and others prove that structured team briefing reduces the communication failure in the operation room.⁽⁹⁾Orthopedic surgery is highly demanding area for applying surgical safety checklist due to its technical complexity.⁽¹⁰⁾

The National Surgical Quality Improvement program application in the private sector had reduced the thirty-day morbidity significantly. (11)

Weiser et al reported on the use of checklists in emergency surgery, They found that use of WHO surgical safety checklists is feasible and should be considered in urgent operations, it can reduce the complications by more than one third from 18.4% to 11.7% and reducing death from 3.7% to 1.4% ⁽¹²⁾. Checklists are particularly applicable to the operating room setting, where they had been used successfully around the world, although without clear standards guidance as to their content. or 'Checklist fatigue' can result from the use of multiple checklists, which can actually lead to errors if they are seen as extraneous and unimportant During hospital admission, one out of ten patients experienced adverse events most of them are preventable ⁽¹⁴⁾almost 2.5 million admissions per year in Canada, 185000 are associated with adverse events and nearly 70000 of them are preventable ⁽¹⁵⁾. In Western Australia, their audit of surgical mortality had helped them to change surgical practice ⁽¹⁶⁾.

Methods:

Cross-sectional observational study involving the government and private hospitals in Mukalla city (Yemen) was conducted during the period august to October 2016. 110 members of the operation theatre staff had been interviewed including surgeons assistants, technicians, nurses of ICU and patients (70 females, 40 males) were interviewed and the questioner were filled by the observer . The quissionier including the ID of the responder then the steps before anesthesia then steps before incision then before leaving the theatre, all data was written according to surgical safety standards given by WHO and analyzed

by means of SPSS.

Results and Discussion

Sixty three percent of the respondents are female and 33% of them holding diploma in their specialty while 17% graduated from university, 56% of respondents are working in the private hospitals. Almost all operations (99%) in which the checklist applied were major operations and 83 % of them were routine, 60 % of the operations were checked before surgery.

In the first steps of surgery that was included in the WHO checklist, it looks that all respondents proved checking the name and acceptance of the surgery is applied routinely, while the marking of the site of surgery is applied in 34.5% only. The total percentage of (Sign in) procedures is (86.37%).

In our hospitals, they are taking care about the cotton counting and sterilization before incision 99% but they are less aware about bringing x-ray of the patients into the theatre 59%. The total percentage of (time out) procedures (81.08%).

The last part of the checklist (sign out), the main step which had been followed by our staff is checking complete equipment (99.1%) followed by checking the name of the operation and lastly labeling any samples. the total percentage of (sign out) procedures is (86.75%).

The private hospitals are better than governmental hospitals in applying the standards of the WHO surgical safety applied 87.10% checklist; they in compare to 79.39% in the governmental hospitals. The most neglected step in the private hospitals is marking the site of operation (33.9 %) while the most neglected step in the governmental hospitals` is bringing xray pictures to the theatre (25%).

variables		Frequency (n=110)	%	Mean	Std.Deviation	P value
Gender	Male	40	36.4	1.64	0.483	.006
Genuer	Female	70	63.6	1.04	0.483	.000
	primary	24	21.8			
Education	Secondary	30	27.3	2.46	1.020	
level	Diploma	37	33.6	2.40		.086
	University	19	17.3			
Heanitel	Government	48	43.6	156	0.498	.215
Hospital	Private	62	56.4	1.56		.215
Type of	Major	109	99.1	1.01	0.095	.000
surgery	Minor	1	0.9	1.01		.000
Surgery	Emergency	18	16.4	1.04	0.372	000
operation	Routine	92	83.6	1.84		.000
Check before	Yes	44	40.0	1.60	0.492	.045
surgery	No	66	60.0	1.00		.045

 Table (1)

 distribution of general criteria, hospital setting and surgical operations

Table (2)
surgical checklist procedures by type of hospital

Checklist procedures	N (%)	Hospital						
		Private(%)	Government(%)	P value				
Sign in before induction	of anesthesia	a procedures						
Check patient name, acceptances								
Yes	110 (100)	100	100	.000				
No	0	0	0					
Mark at the site of surgery								
Yes	38(34.50)	33.9	35.4	.002				
No	72(65.50)	66.1	64.6					
Check Anesthesia medication & machine				.000				
Yes	110(100)	100	100	.000				
No	0	0	0					
Check Pulse oxymeter								
Yes	109(99.1)	100	97.9	.000				
No	1(0.9)	0	2.1					
Check allergic reaction	102(02.0)	05.2	91.7	.000				
Yes No	103(93.6) 7(6.7)	95.2 4.8	91.7 8.3	.000				
Check problems in respiratory	· · · ·	4.0	0.5					
system				.000				
Yes	100(90.9)	95.2	85.4					
No	10(9.1)	4.8	14.6					
Blood loss precaution								
Yes	95(86.4) 15(13.6)	93.5 6.5	77.1 22.9	.000				
No	15(13.6)		22.9					
Time out befor	e skin incisio	n procedures						
introduce team by name & role Yes	80(72.7)	51.6	100	.000				
No	30 (27.3)	48.4	0	.000				
Check again patient name								
Yes	101(91.8)	93.5	89.6	.000				
No	9(8.2)	6.5	10.4					
Antibiotic taken before surgery	01(92 7)	02 5	(9)(000				
Yes No	91(82.7) 19(17.3)	93.5 6.5	68.6 31.4	.000				
Cotton count and sterilization								
Yes	109(99.1)	98.4	100	.000				
No	1(0.9)	1.6	0					
X-Rays pictures								
Yes	65(59.1)	85.5	25.0	.070				
No	45(40.9)	14.5	75.0					
sign out before the patient leave procedures								
Nurse check name of operation								
Yes	10(98.2)	96.8	100	.000				
No	2(1.8)	3.2	0					

Nurse check complete equipment Yes No	109(99.1) 1(0.9)	100 0	97.9 2.1	.000
Nurse label samples Yes No	69(62.7) 41(37.3)	100 0	100 0	.010
Nurse check for mechanical problems Yes No	91(87.3) 19()12.7)	74.2 25.8	93.8 6.2	.000

Discussion:

The use of WHO surgical safety checklist (SSC) is variable among countries. In general and according to Vohra study, there are 57.5% of medical professional from 69 used the WHO SSC countries preoperatively. Most of them from highincome countries in comparison to other countries 83.5% vs. 43.5% P=0.001, most of their respondents were females, consultant surgeons and working in university hospitals The highest numbers of respondents by country were from Egypt (10.8%), followed by India 9.2%, Pakistan 3.9%, Bangladesh 2.5% and the UK 1.8% (17) . In our hospitals, we are using The WHO SSC, although not complete, in around 84% of surgical setting.

It has been estimated that wrong-site and wrong-patient surgery occurs in about one in 50 000–100 000 procedures in the United States, equivalent to 1500–2500 incidents each year An analysis of 126 cases of wrong-site or wrong-patient surgery in 2005 revealed that 76% were performed on the wrong site, 13% on the wrong patient and 11% involved the wrong procedure.

Wrong – site surgery, although rare, mandates strict rules to implement the marking of the site of operation ⁽¹⁸⁾. Prevention of wrong side/site, procedure adverse events needs and new technologies, case reports and applications of safety programs ⁽¹⁹⁾. Unfortunately, this is the major missed step in our situation P = 0.002 Canadian Orthopedic Association recommend the Sign Your Site protocol, which is marking the site of operation in order to eliminate the wrong-site surgery $^{(20)}$. Although the checklist is an important tool in reducing errors in many disciplines and improving the outcome, the integration of such checklist into medical and intensive care practice has not been as rapid and widespread as with other fields ⁽²¹⁾, our situation is an example may be due to factors such as crowding, low qualified medical personnel, lack of strict health system, low level management, less team work practice and deficient health profession collaboration.

Bibliography

[1] Haynes AB, Weiser TG, Berry WR, et al. "A Surgical Safety Checklist to Reduce Morbidity and Mortality in a Global Population". New England Journal of Medicine;2009, 360: 491–9.

[3] World Health Organization, 2009. "Implementation Manual – WHO Surgical Safety Checklist "(First Edition), Geneva, Switzerland.

[4] Debas HT, Gosselin R, McCord C,Thind A. Surgery.Ch 67. In: Jamison DT, Breman JG, Measham AR, et al., eds. "Disease control priorities in developing countries". 2nd ed. Disease Control Priorities Project. Washington, DC: International Bank for Reconstruction and Development/World Bank, 2006:1245-60.

[5] Ouro-Bang'na Maman AF, Tomta K, Ahouangbévi S, Chobli M. "Deaths associated with anesthesia in Togo, West Africa". Trop Doct 2005;35:220-2.

[6] Dellinger EP, et al. "Hospitals collaborate to decrease surgical site infections". Am J Surg 2005 Jul;190(1):9-15.

[7] Runciman WB. Iatrogenic harm and anaesthesia in Australia. Anaesth Intensive Care 2005;33:297-300.

[8] Mazzocco K, Petitti DB, Fong KT, et al. "Surgical team behaviors and patient outcomes". Am J Surg; 2009 May, 197(5): 678-685.

[9] Lingard L, Regehr G, Orser B, et al. "Evaluation of a preoperative checklist and team briefing among surgeons, nurses, and anesthesiologists to reduce failures in communication". Arch Surg 2008;143: 12-8.

[10] Panesar SS, Noble DJ, MirzaSB, Patel B, Mann B, Emerton M, et al. "Can the surgical checklist reduce the risk of wrong site surgery in orthopaedics?Can the checklist help ? supporting evidence from analysis national patient incident reporting system ".J orthop Surg Res.2011;6:18.

[11] Khuri SF, Henderson WG, Daley J, Jonasson O, Jones RS, Campbell DA,Jr, et al. "Successful implementation of the Department of Veterans Affairs' National Surgical Quality Improvement Program in the private sector: the Patient Safety in Surgery study". Ann Surg 2008 Aug;248(2):329-336. [12] Weiser TG, Haynes AB, Dziekan G, Berry WR, Lipsitz SR, Gawande AA, et al. 'Effect of a 19-item surgical safety checklist during urgent operations in a global patient population". Ann Surg 2010 May;251(5):976-980.

[13] Hales BM, Pronovost PJ. "The checklist– a tool for error management and performance improvement". Journal of Critical Care, 2006, 21:231–5.

[14] de Vries EN, Ramrattan MA, Smorenburg SM, Gouma DJ, Boermeester MA. "The incidence and nature of in-hospital adverse events: a systematic review". Qual Saf Health Care 2008 Jun;17(3):216-223.

[15] Baker GR, Norton PG, Flintoft V, Blais R, Brown A, Cox J, et al. "The Canadian Adverse Events Study: the incidence of adverse events among hospital patients in Canada". CMAJ 2004 May 25;170(11):1678-1686.

[16] Semmens JB, Aitken RJ, Sanfilippo FM, Mukhtar SA, Haynes NS, Mountain JA. "The Western Australian Audit of Surgical Mortality: advancing surgical accountability". Med J Aust 2005 Nov 21;183(10):504-508.

[17] Vohra, RS, Cowley, JB, Bhasin, N, Barakat, HM & Gough, MJ 2015, "Attitudes towards the surgical safety checklist and factors associated with its use : a global survey of frontline medical professionals". Annals of Medicine and Surgery,2015; 4, (2) 119-123.

[18] Kwaan MR, Studdert DM, Zinner MJ, Gawandi AA. "Incidence, patterns, and prevention of wrong-site surgery". Archives of Surgery, 2006, 141:353–8.

[19] Seiden SC, Barach P. "Wrongside/wrong-site, wrong-procedure, and wrongpatient adverse events: Are they preventable?" Archives of Surgery, 2006, 141:931–9.

[20] Canale ST. "Wrong-site surgery: a preventable complication". Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research, 2005, 433:26–9.

[21] Hales PM, Pronovost PJ. "The checklist—a tool for error management and performance improvement". J Crit Care, 2006 Sep;21(3):231-5.